

Original Research Article

Effect of Different Spacings on Flowering, Yield and Quality of Lima Bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.)

Sweta Gawande*, Ekta Ningot, Chanchal Nikam and Madhavi Bhaladhare

Department of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth,
Akola-444104 (Maharashtra), India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at the Main Garden, Department of Horticulture, Dr. P.D.K.V. Akola. During 2015-2016 to study the effect of different spacing on growth, yield and quality of lima bean. The experiment was laid out in RBD with seven plant spacing as treatment replicate thrice. The results revealed that all the flowering and yield parameters were significantly influenced by various plant spacing. The flowering parameters like days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, inflorescence per plant and flowers per inflorescence was significantly superior in the plant spacing S₃ that is 1.0 x 0.75m. The yield and yield contributing parameters were found to be significantly superior in the plant spacing of 1.0m x 0.75m. Quality parameter seed protein content was observed non-significant.

Keywords

Lima bean,
Flowering,
Spacing, Yield
seed protein

Introduction

Lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) is a legume plus protein rich crop, which belongs to popular plant family Leguminaceae. It is originated in or near Guatemala (Choudhary, 2006). It is native of Central America, now widely naturalised in the tropics and is also known by the names of butter bean or double bean.

It is found in humid, sub-humid and semi-arid tropical climate as well as warm temperate climate. There are wild and cultivated types of *Phaseolus lunatus*, generally referred to as *Phaseolus lunatus* var. *silvester* Baudet and *P. lunatus* var. *lunatus* respectively. Lima beans sprouts, leaves, young pods and green seeds are edible and eaten as vegetables.

Lima beans are tender annuals grown for their flat, crescent oval shaped seeds. There are two types of lima beans; bush and pole or vine varieties. Bush types grow to about two feet tall and tend to have smaller seeds; they bear more quickly than pole lima bean varieties. Pole lima beans have large seeds and can grow 10 to 12 feet high. Small-seeded limas, usually bush types are also called butter beans, sieve beans, Burma beans, Madagascar beans, Carolina beans and “baby limas”. Large seeded lima beans are sometimes called potato limas. Lima beans have pale green pods that vary from 3-4 inches long to 5-8 inches long depending upon variety. Lima bean seeds are eaten, not the pods. Leaves are commonly composed of three leaflets and the flowers are white.

Bush lima bean varieties are ready for harvest from 60 to 80 days from sowing while pole lima bean varieties are ready for harvest in 85 to 90 days. Lima beans are very nutritious beans, high in protein, thiamine, riboflavin and iron. They contain about 25% protein in the dry matter, a value comparable to that of peas and cowpeas (Baudoin, 2006). However, very less systematic work has been carried out on production technology of this minor legume crop. Research on the impact of cropping practices on profitability is lacking and is needed to implement changes to make production more profitable and maintain lima bean as a viable crop in this region. Good agronomic practices such as spacing, time of sowing and fertilizer application have been associated to high biological and economic yield (Onwueme, 1990). Spacing is required to ensure proper utilization of inputs like nutrients, moisture and light resulting in better production performance of the plant. Also maximum yield could be obtained mainly by providing the most optimum plant population per unit area (Shrikanth *et al.*, 2008).

Materials and Methods

The present study entitled “Effect of different spacings on growth and yield of lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.)” was carried out at Main Garden, University Department of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, during the *Kharif* season of 2015-16. The experiment consisted of seven different plant spacings to study the effect of these spacings on growth, yield and quality of lima bean. The topography of the land under experiment was fairly uniform. Soil of the experimental plot was medium black, well drained with uniform texture with gentle slope. Lima bean cv, AKLB-2 is a high yielding variety recommended for vidarbha

region of Maharashtra. This variety developed by Dr. PDKV Akola with high yielder and earliness with good yield potential. AKLB-2 is a tender annual grown for their large flat, crescent, oval shaped seeds with pod length of 7-8cm. Observations were recorded on flowering parameters like days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, inflorescence per plant and flowers per inflorescence. And yield parameters like number of pods per cluster, length of pod (cm), width of pod (cm), weight of dry seed (g), weight of dry seed per pod (g), weight of 100 dry seeds (g) seed yield per plant (g) and seed yield per hectare and seed protein content at the time of flowering and yield and collected data were statistically analyzed as per method suggested by Pansey and Sukhatme (1985).

Results and Discussion

The data regarding flowering, yield and quality parameters are presented in table 1.

Flowering parameters

Days to first flowering

Significantly earliest flowering was observed in the plant spacing of S₃-1.0m x 0.75m with minimum days for first flowering at 78.33 days after sowing. It was followed by the treatment S₄-1.0m x 1.0m in 84 days after sowing. Maximum days 96.66 required for first flowering was observed in the plant spacing S₆-1.5m x 0.75m which was at par with the spacing S₁-0.75m x 0.75m and S₂-1.0m x 0.5m. It is observed that earliest flowering was recorded in the plant spacing S₃-1.0m x 0.75m followed by S₄-1.0m x 1.0m which is optimum spacing instead of S₁ (narrower spacing) or S₇ (wider spacing). This might have occurred due to the fact that pole type lima beans are very vigorous and too close planting results in

excessive vegetative growth but poor reproductive growth whereas wider spacing results in more horizontal growth and plant canopy area due to less population density and competition for space, light, nutrients and moisture. The present results are contradictory to the findings of Kumar *et al.*, (1997), Srikanth *et al.*, (2008) who reported that the effect of spacing for days to flower initiation was non-significant.

Days to 50% flowering

The significant differences were observed for days required to 50% flowering as influenced by different plant spacings of lima bean. These findings are similar to that of days required for first flowering. This might be due to optimum vegetative growth of lima bean planted at optimum plant spacing of S₃-1.0m x 0.75 m resulting in early flowering as compared to that of closely and wider spaced plants. However Shrikanth *et al.*, (2008) observed non-significant results for 50% flowering in lima bean.

Inflorescence per plant

Inflorescence per plant may be due to resulting in maximum number of inflorescence per plant in the spacing S₃-1.0m x 0.75 m whereas inflorescence in the too closer and wider spacing was less because of more vertical and horizontal growth respectively. This resulted in excessive vine growth and thus reducing flowering and yields. This finding is supported by Anonymous (2016).

Flowers per inflorescence

Flowers per inflorescence was observed maximum (6.20) in spacing S₃-1.0m x 0.75m followed by S₄-1.0m x 1.0 m. However minimum flowers per

inflorescence (5.03) was obtained in spacing S₇- 1.5m x 1.0 m. Flowers per inflorescence in the too closer and too wider spacing was less because of more vertical and horizontal growth. It results due to excessive vine growth and it reduces flowers per inflorescence. This is in support to the findings of Anonymous (2016).

Yield parameters

Number of pods per cluster

The significant differences were observed for number of pods per cluster as influenced by different plant spacing of lima bean. The number of pods per cluster (5.21) was found to be superior among all the treatment in spacing S₃-1.0m x 0.75m followed by S₄-1.0 m x 1.0 m and minimum in S₅-1.5m x 0.5m (3.25).The present findings confirms that optimum plant spacing is required for obtaining maximum number of pods per cluster.

Length of pod (cm)

The significant differences were observed in length of pod as influenced by different spacing significantly maximum length of pod (10.73cm) was observed in spacing S₃ - 1.0m x 0.75 m followed by spacing S₄-1.0m x 1.0 m (9.68cm) and minimum pod length occurred in spacing S₅ -1.5m x 0.5m. The present findings suggests that pod length was reduced with too closer and too wider spacing whereas it was increased in the plant spacing of 1.0m x 0.75m which might be due to optimum vegetative growth and uptake of nutrients and moisture from soil. In contradictory Achakzai and Panizai (2007) has reported maximum pod length at narrower row spacing of 25cm in mash bean while Joshi and Rahewar (2014) in wider row spacing of 60cm in Indian bean however the results were non-significant.

Table.1 Flowering, yield and quality parameters as influenced by plant spacing

Treatments	Days to first flowering	Days to 50% flowering	Infloroscence /plant	Flowers /inflorescence	No. of pods/ Cluster	Length of pod (cm)	Width of pod (cm)	Wt. of dry seed (g)	Wt. of dry seed /pod(g)	Wt. of 100 dry seeds(g)	Seed Yield/ plant (g)	Seed yield / ha (q/ha)	Seed protein content (%)
S ₁ -0.75m x 0.75m	96.00	110.00	20.60	5.63	3.51	7.74	1.95	0.98	2.96	81.33	120.00	21.33	16(4.12)
S ₂ -1.0m x 0.5m	95.00	107.33	20.06	5.20	3.45	7.81	2.00	0.99	2.83	79.33	112.00	22.40	16.50 (4.18)
S ₃ -1.0m x 0.75m	78.33	100.00	32.46	6.20	5.21	10.73	2.27	1.72	4.84	91.66	251.66	33.50	17 (4.24)
S ₄ -1.0mx 1.0m	84.00	102.94	29.13	5.96	3.76	9.68	2.08	1.34	3.96	87.00	248.00	27.00	17.33 (4.28)
S ₅ -1.5m x 0.5m	91.00	104.00	20.00	5.46	3.25	7.62	1.93	1.21	3.54	82.33	165.00	20.00	16.33 (4.16)
S ₆ -1.5m x 0.75m	96.66	118.00	20.46	5.80	3.50	8.34	1.98	1.11	3.34	84.66	224.33	19.93	16.33 (4.16)
S ₇ -1.5m x 1.0m	92.00	106.00	19.26	5.03	3.46	8.07	1.96	0.90	2.73	77.66	220.66	14.71	16.66 (4.20)
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig	Sig.	NS
SE(m)±	0.60	0.78	0.62	0.06	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.07	0.20	0.85	1.72	0.81	0.042
CD at 5%	1.87	2.43	1.93	0.19	0.30	1.01	0.12	0.24	0.64	2.66	4.82	2.26	-

Width of pod (cm)

Width of pod as influenced by different spacing were found to be significantly maximum width of pod (2.27cm) was observed in spacing S₃-1.0m x 0.75 m followed by S₄-1.0m x 1.0 m (2.08) whereas minimum (1.94) width of pod occurred in spacing S₅ -1.5m x 0.5 m. The results are in agreement with Samnotra *et al.*, (1998) who reported significant effect of row spacing on width of pod.

Weight of dry seed (g)

Weight of dry seed (g) due to different spacing was found to be statistically significant. Weight of dry seed (1.72g) was found significantly superior in S₃-1.0m x 0.75m followed by (1.34 g) in S₄-1.0m x 1.0m and minimum (0.90g) in plant spacing S₇-1.5m x 1.0m. The results revealed that the optimum plant spacing of S₃-1.0m x 0.75m recorded highest dry weight of seed which may be attributed due to more weight of fresh seeds in S₃.

Weight of dry seed per pod (g)

Weight of dry seed per pod (g) as influenced by different spacing was found to be significant. Weight of dry seed per pod (4.84) was found to be significantly superior among all the treatment in S₃-1.0m x 0.75m (4.83) followed by (3.96) in S₄-1.0m x 1.0m and minimum (2.73) in S₇-1.5m x 1.0m. The results revealed that weight of dry seed per pod was increased in optimum plant spacing and not in too closer or wider spacing. This might be due to the optimum vegetative growth and reproductive parameters occurred in this spacing, which resulted in optimum plant population of lima bean with better utilization of space, light, moisture and nutrients. However it is not in agreement with the findings of Akhtar *et al.*,

(2012) who found non-significant effect of row spacing on number of seed.

Weight of 100 dry seeds (g)

Weight of 100 dry seeds (g) as influenced by different spacing was found to be significant. Weight of 100 dry seeds (91.66g) was significantly superior among all the treatment in S₃-1.0m x 0.75m followed by (87g) in S₄-1.0m x 1.0m and minimum (77.66g) in S₇ -1.5m x 1.0m. It is well evident that weight of 100 dry seeds decreased in too closer and wider plant spacings whereas it was maximum at a plant spacing of S₃-1.0m x 0.75m. This might be due to the increased dry seed weight of lima bean in the same treatment. Similar results were reported by Prashanth *et al.*, (2006).

Seed yield per plant (g)

Significant differences were observed for seed yield per plant as influenced by different spacing. Significantly maximum seed yield per plant (251.66 g) was found in the treatment S₃-1.0m x 0.75m which was at par (248g) in S₄-1.0m x 1.0m and minimum (112g) in S₂-1.0m x 0.5m. The present results revealed that seed yield per plant was reduced in closer and wider spacing and maximum in optimum plant spacing of 1.0m x 0.75m. This might be due to optimum vegetative and reproductive growth of plants spaced at 1.0m x 0.75m which is reflected in terms of more number of inflorescence per plant, flowers per inflorescence, weight of seeds and pods per plant.

Seed yield per hectare (q)

Seed yield per hectare (q) as influenced by different spacing was found to be significant. The seed yield per hectare (33.5q) was found significantly superior in the treatment S₃-1.0m x 0.75m followed by

(27q) in S₄-1.0m x 1.0m and minimum (14.71q) in S₇-1.5m x 1.0m. It is well evident from the present findings that seed yield per hectare was maximum in the optimum plant spacing than closer and wider spacing. Maximum seed yield per hectare may be attributed due to the optimum vegetative and reproductive growth of plants spaced at 1.0m x 0.75m with superior flowering and seed parameters. This is in confirmation with Achakzai and Panizai (2007) who reported positive correlations of number of pods per plant and seed yield per plant with grain yield per hectare. Similar findings were reported by Pilbeam *et al.*, (1989) who stated that greater yields were associated with heavier seeds and more seeds per pod. However too closer spacing resulted in less yield per hectare. Besides poor flowering parameters, more incidences of pest and diseases in dense canopy of closely spaced plants may have resulted in low yield per hectare. Whereas low yield is observed in widely spaced plants because of less number of plants per hectare.

Quality parameter

Seed protein content (%)

Seed protein content was not significantly influenced by different spacing. However maximum seed protein content was obtained from the plant spacing of S₄ – 1.0 m x 1.0 m while minimum in S₁ -0.75m x 0.75m. This is in confirmation with the findings of Singh *et al.*, (1971), Habibzadeh *et al.*, (2002) who reported non-significant effect on seed protein.

References

Achakzai, A.K.K. and M.Kamran Panizai, 2007. Effect of row spacing on growth, yield and yield components of mashbean. *Sarhad J.Agric.* Vol.23,

No.1.

- Akhtar, L.H., S. Bukhari., S. Salah-ud-Din and R. Minhas, 2012. Response of new guar strains to various row spacings. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 49(4): 469-471.
- Anonymous, 2016. Mid-Atlantic commercial vegetable production recommendation. MACVPR Beans-Snap and lima-Rutgers NJAES.
- Baudoin, J.P, 2006. *Phaseolus lunatus* L. Record team Protabase. Brink, M and Belay, G. PROTA Plant Resources of Tropical Africa, Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Deka K.K., Das, R., Milu, P. Bora and N. Mazumdar, 2015. Effect of sowing dates and spacing on growth and yield of cluster bean (*Cymopsis tetragonoloba*) in subtropical climate of Assam, India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. Vol.49 Issue 3, pp.250-254.
- Dhanjal, R. and Om Prakash, 2001. Response of French bean varieties of plant densities and N levels. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 46:277-281.
- Edje, O.T., L.K. Mughalho and U.W.U. Ayonoady, 1971. Effect of row width and plant spacing on the yield of canning beans. *Res. Bull. of Bunda, College of agric, Malawi*, 2: 29-36.
- Ghosh, D.K. and A. Bandopadhyay, 2008. Effect of different spacing of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) on its growth and yield grown as inter crop with coconut. *Indian Coconut Journal* Vol.38 No.12 pp.19-22.
- Habibzadeh Y.R., Mamaghani and A.Kashani, 2002. The effect of plant population on protein content of mung bean cultivars. *Proc. of 7th Iranian Crop Sci. Congress*, Karaj.
- Joshi, S.K. and H.D. Rahewal, 2014^a: Effect of dates of sowing, row spacings and

- varieties on growth attributing characters of *Rabi* Indian bean (*Dolichos lablab* L.) Trends in Biosciences 2014 Vol.7 No.22 pp.3717-3721.
- Kudmulwar, V.C, 1983: Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and spacing on physiological parameters and yield of cluster bean (*Cymopsis tetragonoloba* L. Taub). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, submitted to Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani. (unpublished)
- Kumar, R., B.D. Yadav and Ram Kumar, 1997. Effect of plant and row spacing on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*. L.) under rainfed conditions. Forage Res. 23(3&4): 217-219.
- Moniruzzaman M., G.M.A. Halim and Z.A. Firoz, 2009. Performances of French bean as influenced by plant density and nitrogen application. Bangladesh J.Agril.Res. 34(1):105-111.
- Naresh Babu, 2000. Response of French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to phosphorus and spacing under foot hills of Nagaland. Indian Journal of Hill Farming Vol.13 No.1/2 pp.69-71.
- Onwueme, I.C. 1990. Vegetable crops. New York: Macmillan Pub.Institute Inc. (2003). The SAC System for Windows, Release 9.0.Statistical Analysis Systems Institute, Cary, NC, USA, pp.258-261.
- Patel Z.G., C.P. Ranparia and M.K. Arvadia, 1994^a.Effect of spacing, nitrogen and phosphorus on growth of Indian butter bean (*Dolichos lablab var.typicus*). Indian Journal of Agronomy.Vol.39 No.4 pp.708-709.
- Pawar, S.U., M.L. Kharwade and H.W. Awari, 2007. Effect of plant density on vegetative growth and yield performance of different varieties of French bean under irrigated condition. Karnataka J.Agric.Sci., Vol.20 No.3 pp.684-685.
- Prashanth, N.D., A.S. Sajjan and B.S. Vyakarnahal, 2006. Seed quality as influenced by levels of spacing and phosphorus in French bean. Karnataka journal of Agricultural Sciences Vol. 19 no.1 pp.27-29.
- Shrikanth, M.N. Merwade., A.S. Channaveerswami, C.P. Shantappa, Thirakannavar Mallapur and R.M. Hosamani, 2008. Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on crop, growth and seed yield in lablab bean (*LabLab purpureus* L.) Karnataka J. of Agricultural Sciences. 21(3): 440-443.
- Singh, L.S.K., Maheshwari and D. Sharon, 1971. Effect of date of planting and plant population on growth, yield and yield components and protein content of pigeon pea. Indian J.Agric.Sci. 41:535-538.
- Taneja, K.D., P.S. Gill and B.D. Sharma, 1982. Effect of row spacing and intra row spacing on seed yield of guar cultivars. Forage Res. 10(2):111-115. Tiwana, U.S and M.S.
- Thimmegowda, S. 1990. Influence of row spacing and fertilizer levels on straw and bhusa of *Dolichos lablab* under rainfed cropping. Current Research, 19:106-107.